arXiv:math/0608174v4 [math.GR] 17 Jan 2008

FILLING INEQUALITIES FOR NILPOTENT GROUPS

ROBERT YOUNG

Abstract. We give methods for bounding the higher-order filling functions of a Carnot group and apply them to a family of quadratically presented groups studied by Chen [8] and Warhurst [22]. These methods give sharp bounds on some higher-order filling invariants of these groups. We show that groups with arbitrarily large nilpotency class can have euclidean n-dimensional filling volume; in particular, there are groups with arbitrarily large nilpotency class and quadratic Dehn functions.

The Dehn function of a group measures the complexity of the group's word problem by counting the number of relators necessary to reduce a word to the identity. A key connection between geometric and combinatorial group theory is the observation that reducing a word to the identity corresponds to filling a closed curve with a disc. This allows geometric methods to be applied to the problem of finding bounds for the Dehn function. In the case of nilpotent groups, these geometric methods often come from Carnot-Carathéodory geometry; many nilpotent groups can be given a left-invariant Carnot-Carathéodory metric, and efficient fillings of curves often come from discs satisfying certain tangency conditions. Gromov used such discs to bound Dehn functions for some nilpotent groups in [14] and [15]. In this paper, we will extend these techniques to groups of nilpotency class > 2 and to higher-order filling functions.

One natural question is finding the range of Dehn functions for nilpotent groups of a given nilpotency class. Gersten's conjecture, proven by Gromov[14, 5.A_5] using infinitesimally invertible operators and combinatorially by Gersten, Holt, and Riley[11], gives an upper bound: all c-step nilpotent groups satisfy an isoperimetric inequality of order $\delta(n) \prec n^{c+1}$. This is sharp; free c-step nilpotent groups achieve this bound [3].

A lower bound is provided by a theorem of Gromov [13] (see also [16]) stating that a group has a linear Dehn function if and only if it is hyperbolic and that any non-hyperbolic group has a Dehn function which grows at least quadratically. Thus, the Dehn function of any torsion-free non-abelian nilpotent group is at least quadratic. There are several examples of two-step groups achieving this bound; one goal of this paper is to give examples of groups of arbitrary nilpotency class which achieve this bound.

One example of a family of two-step nilpotent groups with quadratic Dehn function is the family of higher-dimensional Heisenberg groups. This result was stated by Thurston [9] and proved by Gromov [14], Allcock [1], and Sapir and Ol′shanskii [17]. Such two-step examples are relatively common; implicit in many of the methods used on the Heisenberg group is the fact that many central powers of two-step

---

Date: February 2, 2008.

nilpotent groups satisfy such a bound (see [24]). In [15], Gromov showed that having quadratic Dehn function is in fact generic for two-step nilpotent Lie groups that satisfy an inequality involving their rank and that of their abelianization. On the other hand, there are few known examples of nilpotent groups of class 3 or higher with quadratic Dehn function. An example of such a class 3 group was given by the author in [24], and this paper presents the first known examples of class 4 and higher.

We will bound the filling invariants of these examples by constructing horizontal balls. These balls can be scaled to provide efficient fillings of large, "simple" spheres. The idea of using horizontal discs to bound the Dehn functions of nilpotent groups is due to Gromov[15, 14], who found bounds by decomposing a curve into "simple" pieces and using microflexibility to fill those pieces. In [24], we developed a combinatorial version of Gromov's technique and used it to give an example of a class 3 group with quadratic Dehn function; in this paper, we will extend this technique to more groups and higher dimensional fillings. This technique gives some of the first sharp bounds on higher-order Dehn functions of nilpotent groups. Previously, sharp bounds were known only for abelian groups[10] and the top-dimensional filling functions of nilpotent groups[21, IV.7].

We will construct a family of nilpotent groups $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and prove:

Theorem 1. *For any $m > 0, k > 0$, the group $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$, when endowed with a left-invariant riemannian metric, has*

$$FV_i(V) \sim \delta_{i-1} \sim V^{\frac{i}{i-1}}$$

for $i \leq k$, and

$$FV_{k+1}(V) \sim \delta_k \sim V^{\frac{k+m+1}{k}}$$

For $i \leq k$, these are the same filling inequalities as for euclidean space, so we call these bounds *euclidean*. Since $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ will have nilpotency class $m+1$, this gives examples of groups of any nilpotency class with euclidean filling functions. In addition, since $J^1(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathbb{H}^{2k+1}$, where $\mathbb{H}^{2k+1}$ is the $2k+1$-dimensional Heisenberg group, parts (i) and (ii) of Conjecture 5.D.5(f) in [14] follow as a special case:

Corollary 1. *For $\mathbb{H}^{2k+1}$ endowed with a left-invariant riemannian metric,*

$$FV_i(V) \sim \delta_{i-1} \sim V^{\frac{i}{i-1}}$$

for $i \leq k$, and

$$FV_{k+1}(V) \sim \delta_k \sim V^{\frac{k+2}{k}}$$

One notable feature of these bounds is that they can be achieved by families of scalings of cycles, that is, by families of cycles which are difficult to fill not because they are topologically or geometrically complex, but because they are large. Indeed, the basic idea of this paper is to use approximation techniques to break a complex cycle into simple pieces and thus show that complex cycles are no more difficult to fill than simple ones. We conjecture that this is in fact true for all nilpotent groups with scalings, namely:

Conjecture 1. *Let G be a Carnot group. There is a map $\alpha : S^k \to G$ such that*

$$\delta^k(s_t(\alpha)) \sim \delta^k(\mathrm{vol}(s_t(\alpha)))$$

i.e., there is a family of scalings of a single cycle which gives a sharp lower bound on the Dehn function. Some support for the conjecture can be found in Theorem 3 of [24], which shows that when $k = 1$ and the group G has a lattice and a polynomial Dehn function, then α can be chosen to be a relator of the lattice. If true for larger values of k, the conjecture would imply that

$$\delta^i_{\mathbb{H}_{2k+1}} \precsim V^{\frac{i+2}{i+1}} \qquad \text{for } i > k$$

and that for any nilpotent group of class m,

$$\delta^k(V) \precsim V^{\frac{k+m+1}{k}}.$$

The euclidean upper bounds in Theorem 1 are obtained by proving that if G has "enough" k-dimensional horizontal submanifolds, then $FV_k(G)$ is at most euclidean (Theorem 3) and that the $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ have "enough" horizontal manifolds (Lemma 12). The non-euclidean upper bound will follow from Theorem 6, a generalization of Theorem 3 to a weaker horizontality condition. The lower bounds will follow from Theorem 7, which uses cohomology to show that certain submanifolds are difficult to fill.

In Section 1, we will introduce some preliminaries on the geometry of Carnot groups and filling functions, including scaling automorphisms and horizontal maps. In Section 2, we will prove Theorem 3, which is the basis for all our upper bounds on filling functions. In Section 3, we will define the $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and show that they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3. In Section 4, we will introduce some tools for bounding higher-order filling functions in more general nilpotent groups. These will allow us to give an upper bound on the higher-order filling functions of c-step Carnot groups and find an upper bound on FV_{k+1} of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Finally, in Section 5, we will give cohomological lower bounds for higher-order filling functions, showing that our isoperimetric inequalities for the $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ are sharp.

The work in this paper was done as part of the author's doctoral thesis at the University of Chicago. The author would like to thank Shmuel Weinberger and Benson Farb for their help and advice, and to thank an anonymous referee for their advice on clarifying and simplifying the exposition.

1. Preliminaries

The Dehn function of a group is an invariant measuring the complexity of the word problem by counting the number of relators necessary to reduce a word to the identity. This can be interpreted geometrically as a problem of filling closed curves in a corresponding space with discs; this equivalence is an important connection between geometry and combinatorics. In this section we will define Dehn functions and their higher-dimensional analogues; for a more detailed survey of Dehn functions, see the paper by Bridson [4].

We first describe the combinatorial version of the Dehn function, based on the word problem:

Definition 1 (Dehn function of a group). *Let $H = \{a_1, \dots, a_d | r_1, \dots, r_s\}$ be a group. Any word w in the a_i representing the identity can be reduced to the trivial word by using the relators; that is, there is a decomposition*

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^{k} g_i^{-1} r_{b_i}^{\pm 1} g_i, \qquad \textit{for some } g_i, b_i$$

where the equality is taken in the free group generated by the a_i*. We define the area* $\delta(w)$ *of* w *to be the smallest* k *for which such a decomposition exists.*

We then define the Dehn function *of* H *by setting*

$$\delta(n) = \max \delta(w),$$

where the maximum is taken over all words representing the identity with at most n *letters.*

This function depends on the presentation of H, but only by a linear distortion of the domain and range. We define the partial ordering

$$f \prec g \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists A, B, C, D, E \text{ s.t. } f(n) \leq Ag(Bn + C) + Dn + E \text{ for all } n \tag{1}$$

and let $f \sim g$ iff $f \prec g$ and $f \succ g$. Then if δ and δ' are Dehn functions corresponding to two finite presentations of the same group, $\delta \sim \delta'$, so the Dehn function of a group is defined up to this equivalence relation. Moreover, if δ and δ' are Dehn functions of quasi-isometric groups, then $\delta \sim \delta'$, that is, the Dehn function is a quasi-isometry invariant.

A presentation for H corresponds to a presentation complex, a 2-complex with one vertex whose edges correspond to generators and whose faces correspond to relators. Its universal cover is a simply-connected 2-complex whose 1-skeleton is the Cayley graph of H and whose faces correspond to conjugates of relators. Words representing the identity then correspond to closed curves in the universal cover and decompositions as in Definition 1 correspond to van Kampen diagrams (roughly, mappings of discs into the 2-complex) with boundary w. The area $\delta(w)$ of w is then the minimal number of faces in such a van Kampen diagram. This inspires a geometric definition for δ.

Definition 2 (Dehn function of a manifold)**.** *Let* M *be a simply-connected riemannian manifold or a simplicial complex with the metric of a Riemannian manifold with corners on each simplex. Given a Lipschitz closed curve* γ *in* M*, define its area* $\delta(\gamma)$ *to be the infimum of the areas of all the Lipschitz discs bounded by* γ *and define the Dehn function* δ *of* M*:*

$$\delta(n) = \sup \delta(\gamma),$$

where the supremum is taken over all Lipschitz closed curves of length at most n*.*

The connection between these two definitions is given in a theorem stated by Gromov and proved in [4] and [7]:

Theorem 2. *If* M *is as in Definition 2 and* H *is a finitely presented group acting properly discontinuously, cocompactly, and by isometries on* M*, then* $\delta_H \sim \delta_M$*.*

These functions can be generalized to filling functions for spheres of higher dimension.

Definition 3 (Higher-order Dehn functions)**.** *Let* M *be a* k*-connected riemannian manifold or a simplicial complex with the metric of a Riemannian manifold with corners on each simplex. Given a Lipschitz map* $\gamma : S^k \to M$*, define its filling volume* $\delta^k(\gamma)$ *to be the infimum of the areas of all the Lipschitz balls bounded by* γ *and define the* k*-th order Dehn function* δ^k *of* M*:*

$$\delta^k(n) = \sup \delta^k(\gamma),$$

where the supremum is taken over all Lipschitz maps of volume at most n*.*

We can define the k^{th}-order Dehn function of a group G to be the k^{th}-order Dehn function of any k-connected complex on which G acts properly discontinuously, cocompactly, and by isometries. Then δ_1 is just the geometric Dehn function defined above and Theorem 2 extends to state that higher-order Dehn functions are well-defined up to the equivalence relation of (1).

Gromov uses another generalization in [14], which uses the Lipschitz cycles and chains of Federer and Fleming [10]. Define the filling volume of a Lipschitz k-cycle as the infimal volume of a Lipschitz $(k+1)$-chain that it bounds. Then $FV_{k+1}(V)$, the $(k+1)$-dimensional filling volume function, can be defined as the supremal filling volume of a k-cycle of volume at most V. Note that the subscripts of the two functions differ: FV_k measures fillings by k-chains and δ_k measures fillings by $(k+1)$-submanifolds. Furthermore, FV_k is not a priori related to δ_{k-1}, though the functions are equivalent in many cases and the bounds obtained in this paper will apply to both.

Under appropriate finiteness conditions, one can define equivalent functions using combinatorial maps instead of Lipschitz maps. Roughly speaking, if a group has a $K(\pi,1)$ with finite k-skeleton, we can define filling functions for π by counting the number of simplices needed to fill a simplicial map of a k-sphere or a simplicial k-cycle. We will not use the combinatorial version here and refer the interested reader to the papers by Alonso, Wang, and Pride [2] or Bridson [5].

We will also need some background on the geometry of homogeneous nilpotent Lie groups. Let G be a nilpotent Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. Then if we let $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_i = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}]$, there is a filtration

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_k \supset \mathfrak{g}_{k+1} = 0$$

corresponding to the lower central series, and $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$. If $\mathfrak{g}_k \neq 0$, we call k the *nilpotency class* of G.

Definition 4 (Carnot group). *A* Carnot group *is a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group G for which the filtration on $\mathfrak{g}$ can be refined to a grading*

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$$

such that $[V_i, V_j] \subset V_{i+j}$ and which is equipped with a left-invariant riemannian metric on G for which the V_i are orthogonal.

If G is Carnot, we can define a family of scaling automorphisms s_t of $\mathfrak{g}$ which act on V_i by multiplication by t^i, that is, if $v = \sum_i v_i$, for $v_i \in V_i$, then $s_t(v) = \sum_i t^i v_i$. These extend to automorphisms of G.

It will be important to know how the volume of a chain changes under scaling. First, extend the V_i to left-invariant subbundles of TG. Then s_t distorts vectors in TG according to where they lie in the grading. If $v \in V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_i$, then $||s_{t*}(v)|| \leq t^i ||v||$ for $t \geq 1$ and $||s_{t*}(v)|| \leq t||v||$ for $t \leq 1$. Maps with tangent vectors all lying in V_1 will be called horizontal.

Definition 5 (Horizontal map). *Let $f : X \to G$ be a Lipschitz map from a simplicial complex to a Carnot group. The derivative of f is defined almost everywhere; if the image of the derivative lies in a left-invariant distribution V of tangent planes in TG almost everywhere, f is V-*horizontal*, which we shorten to* horizontal *when $V = V_1$.*

Then, for instance, if $f : X \to G$ is a map from an n-dimensional complex X which is $(V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_i)$-horizontal, then $\operatorname{vol}(s_t \circ f) \leq t^{ni} \operatorname{vol} f$. In particular, if f

is horizontal, then $\operatorname{vol}(s_t \circ f) = t^n \operatorname{vol} f$ for all t. On the other hand, if $t \leq 1$, the bound $\operatorname{vol}(s_t \circ f) \leq t^n \operatorname{vol} f$ holds for any f, regardless of horizontality.

One final fact about nilpotent groups and scaling automorphisms is that if a homogeneous nilpotent group contains a lattice, it contains lattices which contain their scalings, that is, $s_t(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}$. The following proposition previously appeared in [24]:

Proposition 2. *If G is a Carnot group with a grading*

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$$

of its Lie algebra and $\Gamma \subset G$ is a lattice, then G has a lattice Γ_0 generated by elements of $\exp V_1$.

Proof. Define $p_i : \mathfrak{g} \to V_i$ to be the projection onto the ith factor of the grading and define $\Gamma^{(1)} = \Gamma$, $\Gamma^{(i)} = [\Gamma, \Gamma^{(i-1)}]$ to be the lower central series of Γ. Consider the subgroup Γ_0 of G generated by $\exp(p_1(\log \Gamma))$. If this is a lattice, it is compatible with the grading by definition. We will show inductively that $p_j(\log \Gamma_0^{(j)}) \subset p_j(\log \Gamma^{(j)})$. Since each of the $p_j(\log \Gamma^{(j)})$ is a lattice in V_j, this will show that Γ_0 is discrete. In proving this, we will also see that it has finite covolume.

In the case $j = 1$, note that, by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(u)\exp(v) &= \exp(u + v + \frac{1}{2}[u, v] + \dots) \\ p_1(\log(\exp(u)\exp(v))) &= u + v. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p_1(\log \Gamma_0)$ is a subgroup of V_1 generated by the projections of the generators of Γ, and thus in fact $p_1(\log \Gamma_0) = p_1(\log \Gamma)$. Moreover, since $p_1(\log \Gamma)$ is a lattice in V_1, Γ_0 has finite covolume.

If $p_j\left(\log \Gamma_0^{(j)}\right) \subset p_j\left(\log \Gamma^{(j)}\right)$, we claim that $p_{j+1}\left(\log \Gamma_0^{(j+1)}\right) \subset p_{j+1}\left(\log \Gamma^{(j+1)}\right)$. As before, $p_{j+1}\left(\log \Gamma_0^{(j+1)}\right)$ is a subgroup of V_{j+1}. By the induction hypothesis, if $u \in \Gamma_0$, $v \in \Gamma_0^{(j)}$, there are $u' \in \Gamma$, $v' \in \Gamma^{(j)}$ such that $p_1(\log u) = p_1(\log u')$ and $p_j(\log v) = p_j(\log v')$. Therefore, again using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula,

$$p_{j+1}(\log[u, v]) = p_{j+1}([\log u, \log v]) = \log\left[p_1(\log u), p_j(\log v)\right] = p_{j+1}(\log[u', v']),$$

so $p_{j+1}\left(\log \Gamma_0^{(j+1)}\right) \subset p_{j+1}\left(\log \Gamma^{(j+1)}\right)$. □

If Γ_0 is such a lattice, generated by elements $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \exp V_1$, then $s_t(\Gamma_0)$ is the sublattice generated by $a_1^t, \dots, a_d^t$.

2. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

In [14] and [15], Gromov used scaling automorphisms, horizontal maps, and microflexibility to bound the Dehn functions of nilpotent groups. He used microflexibility to fill small curves and scaled them into fillings of large curves. Our theorem extends this to higher dimensions and uses the existence of certain horizontal triangulations instead of microflexibility.

We will first state the theorem in terms of a strong existence property for horizontal maps (cf. Gromov's disk extension theorem and Lipschitz extension theorem in [15, 3.5.D]), but the theorem holds under weaker assumptions.

Definition 6. *If for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ and any horizontal map $f : S^{i-1} \to G$, there is a horizontal map $\bar{f} : D^i \to G$ extending f, we say that G has property horiz_k.*

This property is actually much stronger than is necessary. It is only used to prove Lemma 4, which provides some horizontal maps and triangulations, so any other way of obtaining such objects will suffice to prove Theorem 3.

Note that though property horiz_k provides horizontal fillings, the volumes of these fillings are not bounded; this is similar to the situation in [14, 5.A$_3$]. Our theorem is that we can find fillings of bounded volume.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a nilpotent group which contains a lattice Γ and k be a number for which the conclusion of Lemma 4 holds. Then*

$$FV_n^G(V) \prec V^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \qquad \text{for } 2 \le n \le k.$$

By Proposition 2, we may assume that $s_2(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$.

We will proceed as follows:

1. Using the Federer-Fleming deformation lemma (Lemma 3), we will show that a j-cycle α in G can be approximated by a horizontal cycle $P_0(\alpha)$ of volume at most $C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$.
2. We will construct a series of approximations $P_i(\alpha)$ of various scales by using scaling automorphisms. $P_i(\alpha)$ will consist of simplices of diameter $\approx 2^i$ and volume $\approx 2^{ij}$ and its volume will be at most $C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$; thus, when i is small, $P_i(\alpha)$ is an approximation by many small simplices and when i is large, it is an approximation by a few large ones. In particular, if i is sufficiently large ($2^{ij} \gg \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$), then the volume bound and the size of the simplices imply that $P_i(\alpha) = 0$.
3. The approximations $P_i(\alpha)$ and $P_{i+1}(\alpha)$ are relatively close together; their distance is $\approx 2^i$. We will construct a series of horizontal chains T_i with boundary
$$P_i(\alpha) - P_{(s_{2^{i+1}} \circ \phi)(\tau)}(\alpha) \qquad i \ge 0$$
and volume at most $2^i C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$. We will connect these approximations together to obtain a filling of α and bound its volume.

We first state the version of the Federer-Fleming deformation lemma which we will use. If X is a space with an action by Γ, define a Γ-adapted triangulation of X to be a Γ-invariant triangulation of X which descends to a finite triangulation of X/Γ such that on each simplex the metric restricts to that of a riemannian manifold with corners.

Lemma 3 (Deformation Lemma[10, 9, 7])**.** *Let M be a space with a riemannian metric on which a group Γ acts properly discontinuously, cocompactly, and by isometries. Let τ be a Γ-adapted triangulation of M. A chain α can be approximated by a chain $P_\tau(\alpha)$ in τ in the following sense: If α is a j-chain in M with boundary $\partial\alpha$ a simplicial cycle in $\tau^{(j-1)}$, then there are a j-chain $P_\tau(\alpha)$ and a $(j+1)$-chain $Q_\tau(\alpha)$ such that*

1. *$P_\tau(\alpha)$ is a simplicial chain of $\tau^{(j)}$*
2. $\partial P_\tau(\alpha) = \partial\alpha$
3. $\partial Q_\tau(\alpha) = P_\tau(\alpha) - \alpha$
4. $\operatorname{vol} P_\tau(\alpha) \le c_\tau \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$
5. $\operatorname{vol} Q_\tau(\alpha) \le c_\tau \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$

where c_τ depends only on M and τ.

Proof. Federer and Fleming prove a version of this lemma for $\mathbb{R}^n$[10, 5.5], and another version was used to work with higher-order Dehn functions in [9]. Burillo and Taback [7] used similar methods to prove a version of the lemma which is essentially the one used here. □

To use this to construct horizontal approximations, we need the triangulation to be horizontal. Property horiz_k provides such triangulations.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a Carnot group with property horiz_k. Let Γ be a cocompact lattice in G such that $s_2(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$. Then there is a Γ-adapted triangulation τ of G and a Γ-equivariant Lipschitz map $\phi : G \to G$ such that ϕ is horizontal on the k-skeleton $\tau^{(k)}$ of τ.*

Furthermore, there is a $s_2(\Gamma)$-adapted triangulation ν of $G \times [0,1]$ which restricts to τ on $G \times \{0\}$ and $s_2(\tau)$ on $G \times 1$ and a $s_2(\Gamma)$-equivariant Lipschitz map ψ : $G \times [0,1]$ such that

- $\psi|_{G\times\{0\}} = \phi$
- $\psi|_{G\times\{1\}} = s_2 \circ \phi \circ s_2^{-1}$
- *ψ is horizontal on $\nu^{(k)}$*

Proof. We choose τ to be any Γ-adapted triangulation of G and ν to be any $s_2(\Gamma)$-adapted triangulation of $G \times [0,1]$ with the appropriate restrictions on $G \times 0$ and $G \times 1$. Since $s_2(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$, this is possible.

We then construct ϕ by induction; it is trivial to construct a Γ-equivariant map on the 0-skeleton, and if we have constructed a Γ-equivariant map on $\tau^{(i-1)}$, we can construct a Γ-equivariant map on $\tau^{(i)}$ which is horizontal if $i \leq k$ and Lipschitz if $i > k$. We construct ψ in the same way. □

Fix τ, ν, ϕ, and ψ. If α is a Lipschitz j-cycle for $j < k$, we can use the Deformation Lemma to construct an approximation

$$\phi^\sharp(P_\tau(\alpha))$$

of α by a horizontal cycle lying in $\phi(\tau^{(j)})$, where $\phi^\sharp$ is the map induced on chains by ϕ.

Conjugating with $s^\sharp_{2^i}$ leads to a coarser approximation

$$\left(s^\sharp_{2^i} \circ \phi^\sharp \circ P_\tau \circ s^\sharp_{2^{-i}}\right)(\alpha)$$

with cells of diameter $\approx 2^i$ and lying in $s_{2^i}(\phi(\tau^{(j)}))$. Define

$$P_i(\alpha) := \left(s^\sharp_{2^i} \circ \phi^\sharp \circ P_\tau \circ s^\sharp_{2^{-i}}\right)(\alpha)$$

Then

Lemma 5.

$$\text{vol}(P_i(\alpha)) \leq c_\tau \operatorname{Lip}(\phi)^j$$

for all j-cycles α, where c_τ is the constant from the Deformation Lemma.

Proof. Note that by the choice of the metric on G, for any j-chain α and any $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\text{vol}\left(s^\sharp_t(\alpha)\right) \leq t^j \,\text{vol}(\alpha)$$

and that for any horizontal j-chain σ and any $t > 0$,

$$\text{vol}\left(s^\sharp_t(\sigma)\right) = t^j \,\text{vol}(\sigma).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{vol}(P_i(\alpha)) \leq 2^{ij} \operatorname{Lip}(\phi)^j c_\tau 2^{-ij}(\alpha)$$

as desired. □

We want to construct horizontal cycles connecting these approximations. As in constructing the approximations, we will proceed by giving a construction at a small scale and scaling to obtain a construction for an arbitrary scale.

Lemma 6. *There is a constant c_T depending only on τ, ν, ϕ, ψ, and the metric such that if α is a Lipschitz j-cycle in G, $j < k$, then there is a horizontal $j+1$-chain $T_0(\alpha)$ such that*

$$\partial T_0(\alpha) = P_0(\alpha) - P_1(\alpha)$$

and

$$\operatorname{vol} T_0(\alpha) \leq c_T \operatorname{vol}(\alpha).$$

Proof. Let $\iota_i : G \to G \times [0,1]$, $i = 0, 1$, be the map $\iota_i(g) = (g, i)$. Let

$$\beta = \big(\iota_0^\sharp \circ Q_\tau\big)(\alpha) + \alpha \times [0,1] - \big(\iota_1^\sharp \circ s_2^\sharp \circ Q_\tau \circ s_{2^{-1}}^\sharp\big)(\alpha).$$

Then β is a $(j+1)$-chain in $G \times [0,1]$ with boundary

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\beta &= \iota_0^\sharp(P_\tau(\alpha) - \alpha) + \iota_0^\sharp(\alpha) - \iota_1^\sharp(\alpha) - \Big(\iota_1^\sharp \circ s_2^\sharp\Big[P_\tau(s_{2^{-1}}^\sharp(\alpha)) - s_{2^{-1}}^\sharp(\alpha)\Big]\Big)\\ &= \iota_0^\sharp(P_\tau(\alpha)) - \big(\iota_1^\sharp \circ s_2^\sharp \circ P_\tau \circ s_{2^{-1}}^\sharp\big)(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

and volume

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{vol}(\beta) &\leq c_\tau \operatorname{vol}(\alpha) + \operatorname{vol}(\alpha) + \operatorname{Lip}(s_2)^{j+1} c_\tau 2^{-j} \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)\\ &\leq C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

where $C = c_\tau + 1 + \operatorname{Lip}(s_2)^{j+1} c_\tau 2^{-j}$.

Let $T_0(\alpha) = \big(\psi^\sharp \circ P_\nu\big)(\beta)$. Note that since $\partial\beta$ lies in $\nu^{(j)}$, we have $\partial P_\nu(\beta) = \partial\beta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial T_0(\alpha) &= \big(\psi^\sharp \circ \iota_0^\sharp \circ P_\tau\big)(\alpha) - \big(\psi^\sharp \circ \iota_1^\sharp \circ s_2^\sharp \circ P_\tau \circ s_{2^{-1}}^\sharp\big)(\alpha)\\ &= \phi^\sharp(P_\tau(\alpha)) - \big(s_2^\sharp \circ \phi^\sharp \circ P_\tau \circ s_{2^{-1}}^\sharp\big)(\alpha)\\ &= P_0(\alpha) - P_1(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\operatorname{vol}(T_0(\alpha)) \leq \operatorname{Lip}(\psi)^{j+1} c_\nu C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

Thus letting

$$c_T = \operatorname{Lip}(\psi)^{j+1} c_\nu C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

fulfills the conditions of the lemma. □

Conjugating T_0 with a scaling gives cycles connecting the other P_i's. That is, if

$$T_i(\alpha) := \big(s_{2^i}^\sharp \circ T_0 \circ s_{2^{-i}}^\sharp\big)(\alpha)$$

then

$$\operatorname{vol}(T_i(\alpha)) \leq 2^{i(j+1)} c_T 2^{-ij} \operatorname{vol}(\alpha) = 2^i c_T \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

and

$$\partial(T_i(\alpha)) = P_i(\alpha) - P_{i+1}(\alpha).$$

Finally, there is a $(n-1)$-chain connecting α and $P_0(\alpha)$:

Lemma 7. *If α is a j-cycle, $1 \leq j < k$, there is a $(j+1)$-chain β_0 with $\partial\beta_0 = \alpha - P_0(\alpha)$ and*

$$\operatorname{vol}(\beta_0) \leq C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

for some constant C independent of α.

Proof. Let $\omega : G \times [0,1] \to G$ be a Lipschitz homotopy from the identity to ϕ. We let

$$\beta_0 = \omega^\sharp(\alpha \times [0,1]) - \phi^\sharp(Q_\tau(\alpha));$$

then

$$\partial\beta_0 = \alpha - \phi^\sharp(\alpha) + \phi^\sharp(\alpha) - \phi^\sharp(P_\tau(\alpha)) = \alpha - \phi^\sharp(P_\tau(\alpha))$$

as desired and

$$\operatorname{vol}(\beta_0) \leq \operatorname{Lip}(\omega)^{j+1} \operatorname{vol}(\alpha) + \operatorname{Lip}(\phi)^{j+1} c_\tau \operatorname{vol}(\alpha).$$

□

Using these constructions, we can prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. Given a j-cycle α, $1 \leq j < k$, we need to construct a $(j+1)$-chain β with $\partial\beta = \alpha$. This chain will be of the form

$$\beta = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{i_0} T_i(\alpha)$$

where $\partial\beta_0 = \alpha - P_0(\alpha)$ as in Lemma 7. Then

$$\partial\beta = \alpha - P_{i_0+1}(\alpha);$$

we need to show that $P_{i_0+1}(\alpha) = 0$ for an appropriate choice of i_0 and bound the volume of β.

We first claim that

$$P_{i_0+1}(\alpha) = \left(s^\sharp_{2^{i_0+1}} \circ \phi^\sharp \circ P_\tau \circ s^\sharp_{2^{-(i_0+1)}}\right)(\alpha) = 0$$

for an appropriate choice of i_0. Recall that

$$\operatorname{vol}(s^\sharp_{2^{-(i_0+1)}}(\alpha)) \leq 2^{-j(i_0+1)} \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

and so $P_\tau(s^\sharp_{2^{-(i_0+1)}}(\alpha))$ is a simplicial cycle in τ of volume at most $c_\tau 2^{-j(i_0+1)} \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$. Let D be the smallest volume of a j-simplex of τ and choose i_0 to be the integer such that

$$2^{-j} D \leq c_\tau 2^{-j(i_0+1)} \operatorname{vol}(\alpha) < D.$$

Then $P_\tau(s^\sharp_{2^{-(i_0+1)}}(\alpha)) = 0$.

Finally, we bound the volume of β. We have that

$$\operatorname{vol}(\beta_0) \leq C \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

$$\operatorname{vol}(T_i(\alpha)) \leq 2^i c_T \operatorname{vol}(\alpha).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{vol}(\beta) &\leq C\operatorname{vol}(\alpha) + \sum_{i=0}^{i_0} c_T 2^i \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)\\ &\leq C\operatorname{vol}(\alpha) + c_T 2^{i_0+1}\operatorname{vol}(\alpha)\\ &\leq C\operatorname{vol}(\alpha) + c_T\left(\frac{2^j\operatorname{vol}(\alpha)c_\tau}{D}\right)^{\frac{1}{j}}\operatorname{vol}(\alpha)\\ &\prec \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)^{\frac{j+1}{j}}\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n = j+1$, we find that $FV_n^G(V) \prec V^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$ as desired. □

One can use the same techniques to show that other higher-order filling invariants of such a group are euclidean. For instance, the j-th order Dehn filling volume $\delta^j(\alpha)$ of a Lipschitz map $\alpha : S^j \to G$ is the infimal volume of a Lipschitz homotopy between α and a point. We will sketch how to adapt the construction above to produce such homotopies.

First, the Federer-Fleming deformation lemma can be modified to produce homotopies (see for instance, [9], [7]). We will use the following statement, which is essentially Lemma 2.1 of [7]:

Lemma 8. *Let G and τ be as in Lemma 3. Then there exists a constant c^h_τ, depending only on G and τ, with the following property: Let X be a finite simplicial complex of dimension j and X_0 be a subcomplex of dimension $j-1$. Let $\alpha : X \to G$ be a Lipschitz map such that $\alpha(X_0) \subset \tau^{(j-1)}$. Then there exists a Lipschitz map $P^h_\tau(\alpha) : X \to G$ such that*

- $P^h_\tau(\alpha)(X) \subset \tau^{(j)}$
- $P^h_\tau(\alpha)|_{X_0} = \alpha|_{X_0}$
- $\operatorname{vol} P^h_\tau(\alpha) \leq c^h_\tau \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$

and a homotopy $Q^h_\tau(\alpha) : X \times [0,1] \to G$ such that

- $Q^h_\tau(\alpha)(x,0) = \alpha(x)$
- $Q^h_\tau(\alpha)(x,1) = P^h_\tau(\alpha)(x)$
- $Q^h_\tau(\alpha)$ *is constant on* X_0
- $\operatorname{vol} Q^h_\tau(\alpha) \leq c^h_\tau \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$

We can then define approximations

$$P^h_i(\alpha) := (s_{2^i} \circ \phi \circ P^h_\tau)(s_{2^{-i}} \circ \alpha) : S^j \to G$$

and state an analogue of Lemma 6.

Lemma 9. *If the maps of Lemma 4 exist, there is a constant c^h_T depending only on τ, ν, ϕ, and ψ such that there is a horizontal homotopy $T^h_0(\alpha) : S^j \times [0,1] \to G$ between $P^h_0(\alpha)$ and $P^h_1(\alpha)$ where*

$$\operatorname{vol} T^h_0(\alpha) \leq c^h_T \operatorname{vol}(\alpha).$$

As before, when $i \geq 0$, we can define

$$T^h_i(\alpha) = s_{2^i}\left(T^h_0(s_{2^{-i}} \circ \alpha)\right) : S^j \times [0, 2^i] \to G.$$

This is a homotopy connecting P^h_i and P^h_{i+1} and satisfying

$$\operatorname{vol}(T^h_i(\alpha)) \leq 2^i c^h_T \operatorname{vol}(\alpha).$$

We can then sketch the proof of

Theorem 4. *Let G be a nilpotent group with property horiz_k. If $1 \leq j < k$, then there is a constant C such that for any Lipschitz map $\alpha : S^j \to G$, there is a Lipschitz map $\beta : D^{j+1} \to G$ extending α, and having volume*

$$\mathrm{vol}(\beta) \leq C \,\mathrm{vol}(\alpha)^{\frac{j+1}{j}}.$$

Sketch of proof. Let $\alpha : S^j \to G$ and let i_0 and ω be as in Theorem 3. It suffices to construct a Lipschitz homotopy β between α and a point which has volume $\prec \mathrm{vol}(\alpha)^{\frac{j+1}{j}}$. This β will be composed of a homotopy β_0 between α and $P^h_0(\alpha)$, a series of homotopies T^h_i, $0 \leq i \leq i_0$, and finally, a homotopy β_1 between $P^h_{i_0+1}(\alpha)$ and a point.

The only new argument is the construction of β_1. As in Theorem 3, the image of $P^h_\tau(s^{-1}_{2^{i_0+1}} \circ \alpha)$ is too small to fully contain any j-simplex of τ. For each j-simplex Δ of τ, choose a point $p \in \Delta$ not contained in the image of $P^h_\tau(s^{-1}_{2^{i_0+1}} \circ \alpha)$. Radial projection from p to $\partial\Delta$ gives a Lipschitz homotopy from $P^h_\tau(s^{-1}_{2^{i_0+1}} \circ \alpha)$ to a map which misses the interior of Δ. This homotopy lies in $\tau^{(j)}$ and thus has zero $(j+1)$-volume. Doing this simultaneously for all j-simplices gives a Lipschitz homotopy from $P^h_\tau(s^{-1}_{2^{i_0+1}} \circ \alpha)$ to a map whose image lies in $\tau^{(j-1)}$. This can be shrunken to a point through a homotopy which also has zero $(j+1)$-volume. If we denote the whole homotopy from $P^h_\tau(s^{-1}_{2^{i_0+1}} \circ \alpha)$ to a point by β'_1, we can let $\beta_1 = s_{2^{i_0+1}} \circ \phi \circ \beta'_1$. □

The proof of Theorem 4 leads to a weaker version of property horiz_k. Namely, one can show that any horizontal j-sphere, $j < k$, can be filled with a disc which is locally Lipschitz on its interior and has horizontal tangent planes almost everywhere. The construction is as follows. Let $\alpha : S^j \to G$ be a horizontal sphere. Then $\mathrm{vol}(s_t(\alpha)) = t^j \alpha$, and so

$$\mathrm{vol}(P_i(\alpha)) \leq c^h_\tau \,\mathrm{vol}(\alpha)$$

$$\mathrm{vol}(T_i(\alpha)) \leq 2^i c^h_T \,\mathrm{vol}(\alpha)$$

for *all* i, not just $i \geq 0$. Moreover, as $i \to -\infty$, $P_i(\alpha)$ converges to α in the C^0 sense. We can thus glue the T_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \leq i_0$ together to get a map $\beta : D^{j+1} \to G$ which is locally Lipschitz on the interior, continuous on the boundary, has horizontal derivative almost everywhere, and has volume $\mathrm{vol}(\beta) \leq C \,\mathrm{vol}(\alpha)^{\frac{j+1}{j}}$.

We have yet to show that there are any groups besides $\mathbb{R}^k$ for which Lemma 4 holds. We will do so in the next section.

3. Jet groups

In this section, we will construct a family of groups with many horizontal submanifolds and show that they satisfy euclidean filling inequalities. This family has also appeared as a family of non-rigid Carnot groups [22] and a family of quadratically presented Lie algebras[8]. Warhurst defined the group by putting a group structure on the m-jet bundle $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$; we will give a version of this construction.

The m-jet bundle is a generalization of the cotangent bundle which is often used to describe differential relations. A smooth map from M to $\mathbb{R}$ has a gradient which can be considered as a map from M to TM^*. Its higher-order derivatives can similarly be considered as maps to jet bundles. We will describe $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$, the m-jet bundle of $\mathbb{R}^k$. This is a vector bundle with fiber

$$W = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} S^i \mathbb{R}^{k*},$$

where $W_i := S^i\mathbb{R}^{k*}$ is the ith symmetric power of $\mathbb{R}^{k*}$ and $W_0 := S^0\mathbb{R}^{k*} = \mathbb{R}$. Then a C^n map $f : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to a C^{n-m} section, called a *prolongation*, $j^m(f) : \mathbb{R}^k \to J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$, given by taking derivatives: the projection to W_0 corresponds to the original map, the projection to $W_1 = \mathbb{R}^{k*}$ is the gradient of f, and so on. We will often write $j^m_p(f)$ in place of $j^m(f)(p)$.

Using a basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$, we can construct a basis of W. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_k^*\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{k*}$. If we let

$$y_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (e_i^*)^{a_i},$$

then

$$\left\{ y_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)} \middle| \sum a_i = n \right\}$$

is a basis of W_n and

$$\left\{ y_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)} \middle| \sum a_i \le m \right\}$$

is a basis of W. Using this basis, we can write $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ as a product $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathbb{R}^k \times W$.

We can now define the group structure. Let $p = (p_1, p_2), q = (q_1, q_2) \in J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$, where $p_1, q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $p_2, q_2 \in W$. Define

$$D^m_p(f) \in W$$

so that

$$j^m_p(f) = \big(p, D^m_p(f)\big)$$

and define $P\big(p\big) : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ to be the unique degree m polynomial in k variables such that $D^m_{p_1}(P(p)) = p_2$. Then let

$$(p_1, p_2)(q_1, q_2) = \left(p_1 + q_1, D^m_{p_1+q_1}(P(p)) + q_2\right).$$

One can check that with this multiplication, $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a group, $\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}$ is a subgroup, and $p \cdot \big(\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}\big)$ is $j^m(P(p))(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

To describe the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{j}_{m,k}$ of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$, consider the basis

$$\left\{ y_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)} \middle| \sum a_i \le m \right\} \cup \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$$

of $\mathfrak{j}_{m,k}$. Calculating brackets, we find that

$$\left[e_i, y_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)}\right] = y_{(a_1,\dots,a_i-1,\dots,a_k)} \qquad \text{if } a_i > 0.$$

and all other brackets are zero. This is isomorphic to the Lie algebra corresponding to the model $\mathcal{M}_{m,k}$ defined by Chen [8]. Since the structure constants of $\mathfrak{j}_{m,k}$ with respect to the basis $\{x_i, y_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)}\}$ are rational, $\{\exp x_1, \dots, \exp x_k\} \cup \left\{\exp y_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)} \middle| \sum a_i = m\right\}$ generate a lattice in $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Call this lattice $\Gamma_{m,k}$.

Note that the groups $J^1(\mathbb{R}^k)$ are the $(2k+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg groups and $J^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the class 3 example given in [24]; one isomorphism between them takes

$$a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h$$

to

$$y_{(2,0)}, x_1, y_{(1,1)}, x_2, y_{(0,2)}, -y_{(1,0)}, y_{(0,1)}, -y_{(0,0)}$$

respectively.

Warhurst shows that the tangent distribution corresponding to $\mathbb{R}^k \oplus W_m$ agrees with the standard contact structure on $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ [22, Thm. 4.4.1]. This implies that if $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, then the image of the prolongation $j^m(f) : U \to J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a horizontal submanifold. We can also see this directly.

Lemma 10. *If U is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, then the image of $j^m(f) : U \to J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a smooth horizontal submanifold of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in U$. By left-translating to the origin, we can assume $x = 0$ and f vanishes to m^{th} order at 0. The tangent plane to $j^m(f)$ is the graph of the $(m+1)$-st derivative (considered as a linear map $\mathbb{R}^k \to W_m$) of f at 0 and lies in $\mathbb{R}^k \oplus W_m$. □

In [15, 4.1.D, 4.4.A-B] Gromov uses flexibility to prove an Extension Theorem for the jet bundle, which implies the existence of the maps required by Lemma 4. Thom [20] also sketches a proof of a similar theorem. To keep this paper self-contained, we will give another proof here.

We first define a class of horizontal manifolds coming from the construction of Lemma 10.

Definition 7. *If Y is a submanifold of $j^m(f)(M)$, it is* holonomic *if and only if there is a piecewise smooth submanifold M of $\mathbb{R}^k$, an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ containing M, and a smooth map $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the $Y = j^m(f)(M)$.*

If X is a complex, a Lipschitz map $f : X \to J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is holonomic if and only if its image lies in a holonomic submanifold of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

Lemma 10 then implies that holonomic submanifolds and maps are horizontal.

A cycle in a holonomic submanifold is equipped with a smooth function; we can often use this to construct a holonomic filling. That is, if α is a holonomic cycle, let $f : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth map and α' be a cycle in $\mathbb{R}^k$ such that $\alpha = j^m(f)(\alpha')$. Let $\beta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be a chain in $\mathbb{R}^k$ whose boundary is α' and g be an extension of f to an open neighborhood of β. Then $j^m(g)(\beta)$ is a horizontal chain whose boundary is α.

In general, a horizontal map is not necessarily even locally holonomic, and it can be difficult to fill an arbitrary horizontal map with a horizontal filling. For our purposes, it suffices to fill locally holonomic maps. To work with such maps, we will define *augmented maps* which are horizontal maps locally equipped with smooth functions.

Definition 8. *Let X be a simplicial complex. Let $p_{\mathbb{R}^k} : J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be the bundle projection. An* augmented map *from X to $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a tuple consisting of*

$$(\alpha : X \to J^m(\mathbb{R}^k), \{f_\Delta : V_\Delta \to \mathbb{R}\}_{\Delta \subset X})$$

where:

1. *For any simplex Δ in X, the set V_Δ is a neighborhood of $p_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\alpha(\Delta))$.*
2. *The maps $f_\Delta : V_\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth maps satisfying the condition that if $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2$, then $V_{\Delta_1} \subset V_{\Delta_2}$ and*

$$f_{\Delta_1} = f_{\Delta_2}|_{V_{\Delta_1}}.$$

(3) *If* $x \in \Delta$, *then* $\alpha(x) = j^m_{p_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\alpha(x))}(f_\Delta)$.

By condition 3, the restriction of α to any simplex Δ of X is holonomic, so α is horizontal. Such maps can often be extended using a partition of unity.

Lemma 11. *If* $\kappa : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}^k$ *is a Lipschitz embedding of a simplex and*

$$(\alpha_0, \{f_\delta : V_\delta \to \mathbb{R}\}_{\delta \subset \partial\Delta})$$

is an augmented map on $\partial\Delta$ *such that* $p_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ \alpha_0 = \kappa|_{\partial\Delta}$, *there is an augmented map* $(\alpha, \{f'_\delta : V'_\delta \to \mathbb{R}\}_{\delta\subset\Delta})$ *to* Δ *such that:*

(1) α *extends* α_0.
(2) $p_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ \alpha = \kappa$.
(3) $f'_\delta = f_\delta|_{V'_\delta}$ *for any simplex* $\delta \subset \partial\Delta$.

Proof. Let V_Δ be a neighborhood of $\kappa(\Delta)$ and let

$$U_\delta := V_\delta - \bigcup_{\delta' \not\supset \delta} \kappa(\delta') \qquad \text{for all } \delta \subset \Delta.$$

Then U_δ is open, $U_\delta \supset \kappa(\mathrm{int}(\delta))$ and the U_δ cover $\kappa(\Delta)$. Let $\{\rho_\delta\}_{\delta\subset\Delta}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_\delta\}$. Using this partition, we can construct the required f' and the V'_δ.

For each simplex $\delta \subset \Delta$, define

$$V'_\delta = V_\delta - \bigcup_{\delta' \not\subset \delta} \mathrm{supp}(\rho_{\delta'}).$$

Since if $\delta' \not\subset \delta$, then $U_{\delta'} \cap \kappa(\delta) = \emptyset$, the set V'_δ is a neighborhood of $\kappa(\delta)$. One can also check that if $\delta \subset \delta'$, then $V_\delta \subset V_{\delta'}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} f'_\delta(x) &: V'_\Delta \to \mathbb{R} \\ f'_\delta(x) &:= \sum_{\delta'} \rho_{\delta'}(x) f_{\delta'}(x) \\ \alpha &: \Delta \to J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) \\ \alpha(x) &:= j^m_{\kappa(x)}(f'_\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that this is an augmented map. We claim that it satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Condition 2 is clear. We claim that condition 3 holds; this implies condition 1.

If $x \in V'_\delta$, and $\delta' \not\subset \delta$, then $\rho_{\delta'}(x) = 0$. In particular, if $\rho_{\delta'}(x) \neq 0$, then $\delta' \subset \delta$ and thus $f_{\delta'}(x) = f_\delta(x)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f'_\delta(x) &= \sum_{\delta'} \rho_{\delta'}(x) f_{\delta'}(x) \\ &= \sum_{\delta' \subset \delta} \rho_{\delta'}(x) f_{\delta'}(x) \\ &= f_\delta(x) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

We will use this to construct maps satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4. We first define an action of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ on augmented maps. Let $p = (p_1, p_2) \in J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Define

$$p(\alpha, \{f_\Delta\}_{\Delta \subset X}) = (p\alpha, \{pf_\Delta\}_{\Delta \subset X})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(p\alpha)(x) &= p \cdot \alpha(x)\\ (pf_\Delta)(x) &= f_\Delta(x - p_1) + P(p)(x).\end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that this is an action on the space of augmented maps.

Lemma 12. *There are* $\tau, \phi, \nu,$ *and* ψ *satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4 for* $G = J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_{m,k}$, *and* $k = k$.

Proof. Choose τ to be a $\Gamma_{m,k}$-adapted triangulation of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and ν to be a $\Gamma_{m,k}$-adapted triangulation of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) \times [0, 1]$ with the appropriate restrictions on $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) \times 0$ and $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) \times 1$.

Note that there is a projection $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ sending $\Gamma_{m,k}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^k$, and so we can construct an action of $\Gamma_{m,k}$ on $\mathbb{R}^k$. After possibly subdividing τ, we can construct a $\Gamma_{m,k}$-equivariant map $\kappa : \tau \to \mathbb{R}^k$ so that the vertices of any simplex of τ lie in general position and each simplex is mapped linearly to $\mathbb{R}^k$; this is an embedding on each simplex in $\tau^{(k)}$.

We can now use Lemma 11 to build a $\Gamma_{m,k}$-equivariant augmented map on the 0-skeleton, then the 1-skeleton, and so on up to the k-skeleton. This constructs a horizontal $\Gamma_{m,k}$-equivariant map on $\tau^{(k)}$ which can then be extended to $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$. We construct ψ similarly. □

We thus have

Theorem 5. $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ *satisfies the filling inequalities*

$$FV(V) \prec V^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \qquad \text{for } 2 \le n \le k.$$

Note that the construction in Proposition 11 is independent of m; in fact, the proposition can be stated in terms of the sheaf of smooth functions. Recall that we can define an equivalence relation on the set of smooth functions defined on neighborhoods of x by letting $f \sim g$ if and only if f and g agree on some neighborhood of x. An equivalence class of such functions is called a *germ* of smooth functions at x, and encapsulates all local information about the functions. In particular, $j^m_x(g)$ is well-defined when g is a germ at x, and thus gives a projection from the bundle of germs to $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ for any m. Moreover, the bundle of germs can be given a topology in which a map $X \to G(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is continuous if and only if it is locally holonomic. An augmented map then corresponds to a continuous map from X into the bundle of germs of smooth functions over $\mathbb{R}^k$, and so our theorem states that such maps can be extended. When given this topology, the bundle of germs is called the *étalé space* of the sheaf of smooth functions; the abundance of k-dimensional horizontal maps into $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is then a consequence of the abundance of k-dimensional continuous maps into the étalé space, that is, the fact that the sheaf of smooth functions is flexible in the sense of [12].

This can be used to construct other examples of families of groups with euclidean Dehn function. The $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ are groups which can be constructed as quotients of the étalé space of a flexible sheaf, and other flexible or microflexible sheaves lead to groups with euclidean Dehn functions as well.

4. Non-euclidean bounds on higher-order filling functions

Previously, we used V_1-horizontality to give a euclidean bound on filling functions. In this section, we will consider conditions like those in [14, 5.A$_4$] which provide more general upper bounds.

The key property of horizontal maps used in the proof of Theorem 3 is that they grow and shrink at controlled rates. That is, if G is a Carnot group and α is a horizontal j-chain α, then

$$\operatorname{vol}\left(s_t^\sharp(\sigma)\right) = t^j \operatorname{vol}(\sigma).$$

We generalize this condition as follows:

Definition 9 ((a,b)-horizontal map)**.** *If f is an injective linear map $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{g}$, it is* (a,b)-horizontal *if and only if there exists c such that*

$$\operatorname{vol}(s_t(f(B))) \le ct^b \operatorname{vol}(f(B))$$

for $t \ge 1$ and

$$\operatorname{vol}(s_t(f(B))) \le ct^a \operatorname{vol}(f(B))$$

for $t < 1$, where B is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

If $\alpha : X \to G$ is a Lipschitz map from a simplicial complex to G, it is (a,b)-horizontal *if its derivative is (a,b)-horizontal almost everywhere.*

The constant c in fact depends only on a, b, and the metric on $\mathfrak{g}$, so if α is (a,b)-horizontal, then

$$\operatorname{vol}(s_t \circ \alpha)) \le ct^b \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

for $t > 1$, and

$$\operatorname{vol}(s_t \circ \alpha)) \le ct^a \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

for $t < 1$.

For example, a $V_a \oplus \cdots \oplus V_b$-horizontal n-chain in G, is (an, bn)-horizontal. One can also consider more complicated tangency conditions; for instance, a map whose derivative is everywhere tangent to a plane spanned by a vector in V_1 and a vector in V_2 is $(3,3)$-horizontal.

The following theorem then follows from a variation on the proof of Theorem 3:

Theorem 6. *Let G be a nilpotent Lie group with left-invariant riemannian metric and $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice such that $s_2(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$.*

Let ψ, τ, ϕ, and ν satisfy all of the conditions of Lemma 4 except the horizontality conditions. Choose $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ such that $\phi|_{\tau^{(i)}}$ and $\psi|_{\nu^{(i)}}$ are (a_i, b_i)-horizontal maps. Then

$$FV_j(V) \prec V^{\frac{b_j}{a_{j-1}}}$$
$$\delta_{j-1}(V) \prec V^{\frac{b_j}{a_{j-1}}}$$

for $j < \dim(G)$.

Sketch of proof. We proceed similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.

Let α be a $(j-1)$-cycle. Recall that the chain $\alpha + \partial Q_\tau(\alpha) = P_\tau(\alpha)$ approximates α and is (a_{j-1}, b_{j-1})-horizontal, so by replacing α with $P_\tau(\alpha)$, we can assume that α is (a_{j-1}, b_{j-1})-horizontal without loss in our bounds.

Then we can define $P_i(\alpha)$ and $T_i(\alpha)$ as before. We get weaker bounds on the volumes:

$$\operatorname{vol}(P_i(\alpha)) \le c2^{(b_{j-1}-a_{j-1})i} \operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

$$\operatorname{vol}(T_i(\alpha)) \leq c2^{(b_j-a_{j-1})i}\operatorname{vol}(\alpha)$$

for some c.

We then construct as before

$$\beta = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{i_0} T_i(\alpha),$$

where we choose i_0 to be the minimal integer such that

$$c_\tau c 2^{-a_{j-1}(i_0+1)}\operatorname{vol}(\alpha) < C$$

for C the minimal volume of a $j-1$-simplex of τ. Then $P_\tau((s^{-1}_{2^{i_0+1}})^\sharp(\alpha)) = 0$ and

$$P_{i_0+1}(\alpha) = 0.$$

Summing the volumes, we find that

$$\operatorname{vol}(\beta) \leq c'(\operatorname{vol}\alpha)^{\frac{b_j}{a_{j-1}}}$$

as desired. □

As an aid to applying the theorem, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 13. *If G is a homogeneous nilpotent Lie group of nilpotency class m, $k \geq 1$, and $\kappa_0 : K \to G$ is a map of a simplicial complex into G which is (a,b)-horizontal on $K^{(k)}$, there is a map $\kappa : K \to G$ which agrees with κ_0 on $K^{(k)}$ and is $(a+1, b+m)$-horizontal on $K^{(k+1)}$. If there is an action of $\Gamma \subset G$ on K such that κ_0 is equivariant, then κ can be chosen to be equivariant as well.*

Proof. The proof uses the methods of [14, 5.A$_2$] and [18]: if $f : \partial\Delta \to G$ is an (a,b)-horizontal map of a k-simplex, then there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} g :&\Delta = (\partial\Delta \times [0,1])/\partial\Delta \times 0 \to G \\ g(x,t) &= s_t(x) \end{aligned}$$

extending f. Moreover, the derivative $g'(x,t) : T\Delta \times \mathbb{R} \to TG$ is (a,b)-horizontal on $T\Delta$ and $(1,m)$-horizontal on $\mathbb{R}$, so the map is $(a+1, b+m)$-horizontal.

Using this construction, we can work simplex by simplex to extend κ_0 as desired. □

As an example, we can find bounds on FV_{k+1} for $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Lemma 12 gives a (k,k) horizontal map on $\tau^{(k)}$, so Lemma 13 provides a $(k+1, k+m+1)$-horizontal map on $\tau^{(k+1)}$. Then by Theorem 6,

$$FV_{k+1}(V) \prec V^{\frac{k+m+1}{k}}$$

In the next section, we will show that this bound and the euclidean bounds in lower dimensions are sharp.

Another possible application is finding bounds on the filling functions of arbitrary homogeneous m-step nilpotent groups which contain lattices. Any nilpotent group satisfies property horiz$_1$, so we can always find a $(1,1)$-horizontal map on a 1-skeleton. The methods above allow us to extend it to an $(n, 1+m(n-1))$-horizontal map on the n-skeleton. Thus if G is a homogeneous m-step nilpotent group which contains a lattice, its filling functions satisfy the bound

$$FV_n(V) \prec V^{\frac{m(n-1)+1}{n-1}}.$$

This is not sharp; Wenger has found better bounds using cone type inequalities[23].

5. Lower bounds on higher-order filling functions

Methods similar to those used by Gromov [14]; Baumslag, Miller, and Short[3]; Pittet [19]; and Burillo [6] give lower bounds for higher-order Dehn functions. Baumslag, Miller, and Short note that if z is an invariant 2-cocycle in a group G, simplicial if G is discrete, de Rham if G is Lie, then the value of z on an 2-chain α is determined by its boundary $\partial\alpha$. Furthermore, the value of $z(\alpha)$ is bounded by the area of α, so if $z(\alpha)$ is large, α must be large. In fact, any chain filling $\partial\alpha$ must be large, giving a lower bound on $\delta(\partial\alpha)$ and $FV_2(\partial\alpha)$. If there are a cocycle z and a family of α with small boundaries and large values of $z(\alpha)$, one gets lower bounds on the asymptotics of FV and δ.

Pittet found such cocycles and cycles by looking at 2-dimensional subalgebras of a nilpotent Lie algebra. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is such a subalgebra and the map $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{R}) \to H^2(\mathfrak{a},\mathbb{R})$ induced by inclusion is nonzero, there is a class in $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{R})$ which measures areas in $\mathfrak{a}$. By constructing curves approximating the boundary of large rectangles in $\mathfrak{a}$, he found lower bounds on the Dehn function of the group. Burillo generalized the argument to higher dimensions and explicitly constructed families of cycles in the Heisenberg groups to give lower bounds for their higher-order filling functions.

We will give lower bounds in the n-dimensional case by using similar arguments: we will obtain a family of horizontal chains which approximate the boundaries of balls in appropriate subgroups. To state our theorem, we will need to understand how scaling affects cochains. To that end, we define a grading on the de Rham cochains. The grading of a homogeneous nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a grading on its dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$, which generates the algebra $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ of de Rham cochains. This gives a grading:

$$\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* = K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_m,$$

where m is the degree of polynomial growth of the corresponding Lie group and K_m is 1-dimensional, generated by the volume form of the Lie algebra. This grading is preserved by the differential and by the scaling automorphism. In particular, s_t^* acts on the ith factor as multiplication by t^i. If v is an n-chain and $z \in K_i$ is an n-cochain,

$$z(s_t(v)) = s_t^*(z)(v) = t^i z(v).$$

We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 7. *Let G be a simply connected homogenous nilpotent Lie group with a left-invariant riemannian metric and let τ, ϕ be maps as in Theorem 6 such that ϕ restricts to a (j,k)-horizontal map on $\tau^{(n-1)}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an n-dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $z \in K_i$ be an n-cocycle which does not map to zero under the map $H^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{R}) \to H^n(\mathfrak{a},\mathbb{R})$ induced by $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Then $FV_n(V) \succ V^{i/k}$ and $\delta_{n-1}(V) \succ V^{i/k}$*

Proof. We want to find an n-chain α such that $s_t(\partial\alpha)$ grows slowly (i.e. $\partial\alpha$ is k-horizontal) and $z(s_t(\alpha))$ grows quickly. Let $A \subset G$ be the subgroup determined by $\mathfrak{a}$. Since any subgroup of a nilpotent group is nilpotent, A has polynomial growth and for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose a ball α_0 such that $\operatorname{vol}(\partial\alpha_0) < \epsilon \operatorname{vol}(\alpha_0)$. We consider α_0 as an n-chain by giving it an orientation.

Since the image of z in $H^n(\mathfrak{a},\mathbb{R})$ is nonzero, it is a nonzero multiple of the volume form. Let c_z be such that $z(\alpha_0) = c_z \operatorname{vol}(\alpha_0)$; by possibly replacing z with $-z$, we can assume $c_z > 0$. Include α_0 in G and consider $P_0(\partial\alpha_0)$. By Lemma 7, there is an n-chain β_0 such that $\partial\beta_0 = \partial\alpha_0 - P_0(\partial\alpha_0)$ and

$$\operatorname{vol}\beta_0 \le C \operatorname{vol}(\partial\alpha_0) \le C\epsilon \operatorname{vol}(\alpha_0).$$

Let $\alpha = \alpha_0 - \beta_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |z(s_t(\alpha))| &\ge |z(s_t(\alpha_0))| - |z(s_t(\beta_0))| \\ &\ge c_z t^i \operatorname{vol}(\alpha_0) - |z| t^i \operatorname{vol}(\beta_0) \\ &\ge c_z t^i \operatorname{vol}(\alpha_0) - |z| C\epsilon t^i \operatorname{vol}(\alpha_0). \end{aligned}$$

If $c_\alpha = (c_z - |z|C\epsilon)\operatorname{vol}(\alpha_0)$, then

$$FV_n(s_t(\alpha)) \ge c_\alpha t^i.$$

If $\epsilon < c_z/|z|C$, then $c_\alpha > 0$, and since

$$\operatorname{vol}(\partial s_t(\alpha)) = \operatorname{vol}(s_t(P_0(\partial\alpha_0))) \le ct^k \operatorname{vol}(P_0(\partial\alpha_0))$$

we conclude that $FV_n(V) \succ V^{i/k}$ and $\delta_{n-1}(V) \succ V^{i/k}$ $\square$

We can use this to show that for the groups $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$, the upper bounds on FV_i when $i \le k+1$ given by Theorem 5 and in Section 4 are sharp. By Lemma 12, there is a $\phi : J^m(\mathbb{R}^k) \to J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and τ a triangulation of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ such that ϕ restricts to a (i,i)-horizontal map on $\tau^{(i)}$ when $i \le k$. To apply Theorem 7, we need to find appropriate cohomology classes and subalgebras.

The Lie algebra of $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$ can be decomposed as

$$\mathfrak{j}_{m,k} = \left(\mathbb{R}^k \oplus W_m\right) \oplus W_{m-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_0.$$

Let $e_1, \dots, e_k$ generate $\mathbb{R}^k$ and let $\mathfrak{a}_i = \langle e_1, \dots, e_i\rangle$ for $i \le k$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{k+1} = \mathbb{R}^k \oplus W_0$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_i$ is an i-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathfrak{j}_{m,k}$. Write

$$\mathfrak{j}^*_{m,k} = \left(\mathbb{R}^k\right)^* \oplus W^*_m \oplus W^*_{m-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W^*_0.$$

Let $e^*_1, \dots, e^*_k$ generate $(\mathbb{R}^k)^*$ and w^* generate W_0. If

$$z_i = e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i \text{ for } i \le k$$

and

$$z_{k+1} = e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_k \wedge w^*,$$

then $z_i \in K_i$ for $i \le k$ and $z_{k+1} \in K_{k+m+1}$. Moreover, z_i is an i-cocycle which does not map to zero under the map $H^i(\mathfrak{j}_{m,k}, \mathbb{R}) \to H^i(\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathbb{R})$. Thus for $J^m(\mathbb{R}^k)$,

$$FV_i(V) \sim \delta_{i-1} \sim V^{\frac{i}{i-1}} \text{ for } i \le k$$

$$FV_{k+1}(V) \sim \delta_k \sim V^{\frac{k+m+1}{k}}$$

References

[1] D. Allcock, *An isoperimetric inequality for the Heisenberg groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 2, 219–233.

[2] J. M. Alonso, X. Wang, and S. J. Pride, *Higher-dimensional isoperimetric (or Dehn) functions of groups*, J. Group Theory **2** (1999), no. 1, 81–112.

[3] G. Baumslag, C. F. Miller, III, and H. Short, *Isoperimetric inequalities and the homology of groups*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), no. 3, 531–560.

[4] M. R. Bridson, *The geometry of the word problem*, Invitations to geometry and topology, Oxf. Grad. Texts Math., vol. 7, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2002, pp. 29–91.

[5] ———, *Polynomial Dehn functions and the length of asynchronously automatic structures*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **85** (2002), no. 2, 441–466.

[6] J. Burillo, *Lower bounds of isoperimetric functions for nilpotent groups*, Geometric and computational perspectives on infinite groups (Minneapolis, MN and New Brunswick, NJ, 1994), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 1–8.

[7] J. Burillo and J. Taback, *Equivalence of geometric and combinatorial Dehn functions*, New York J. Math. **8** (2002), 169–179 (electronic).

[8] S. Chen, *Examples of n-step nilpotent 1-formal 1-minimal models*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **321** (1995), no. 2, 223–228.

[9] D. B. A. Epstein, J. W. Cannon, D. F. Holt, S. V. F. Levy, M. S. Paterson, and W. P. Thurston, *Word processing in groups*, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.

[10] H. Federer and W. H. Fleming, *Normal and integral currents*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72** (1960), 458–520.

[11] S. M. Gersten, D. F. Holt, and T. R. Riley, *Isoperimetric inequalities for nilpotent groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 4, 795–814.

[12] M. Gromov, *Partial differential relations*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[13] ———, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 8, Springer, New York, 1987, pp. 75–263.

[14] ———, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1–295.

[15] ———, *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, Sub-Riemannian geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, pp. 79–323.

[16] A. Yu. Ol′shanskii, *Hyperbolicity of groups with subquadratic isoperimetric inequality*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **1** (1991), no. 3, 281–289.

[17] A. Yu. Ol′shanskii and M. V. Sapir, *Quadratic isoperimetric functions of the Heisenberg groups. A combinatorial proof*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **93** (1999), no. 6, 921–927, Algebra, 11.

[18] Ch. Pittet, *Isoperimetric inequalities for homogeneous nilpotent groups*, Geometric group theory (Columbus, OH, 1992), Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 3, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995, pp. 159–164.

[19] ———, *Isoperimetric inequalities in nilpotent groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **55** (1997), no. 3, 588–600.

[20] R. Thom.

[21] N. Th. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste, and T. Coulhon, *Analysis and geometry on groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 100, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[22] B. Warhurst, *Jet spaces and nonrigid carnot groups*, Ph.D. thesis, University of New South Wales, 2005.

[23] S. Wenger, *Isoperimetric inequalities and the asymptotic rank of metric spaces*, 2007, arXiv:math/0701212v2.

[24] R. Young, *Scaled relators and Dehn functions for nilpotent groups*, arXiv:math.GR/0601297.

Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques,, Le Bois Marie, 35 route de Chartres, F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

E-mail address: `rjyoung@ihes.fr`